

BY08 Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Exhibit 300

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

The following series of questions are to be completed for all investments to help OMB to identify which agency and bureau is responsible for managing each capital asset, which OMB MAX budget account funds the project, the kind of the project, who to contact with questions about the information provided in the exhibit 300, and whether or not it is an IT or a non-IT capital asset.

(1) Date of Submission:	2006-09-11-04:1
(2) Agency:	202
(3) Bureau:	00
(4) Name of this Capital Asset: (250 Character Max)	Facilities & Equipment Maintenance System (FEMS)
(5) Unique ID (Unique Project Identifier):	202-00-01-02-01-1012-00
Format xxx-xx-xx-xx-xx-xxxx-xx (For IT investments only, see section 53 . For all other, use agency ID system.)	
(6) What kind of investment will this be in FY2008?	Mixed Life Cycle
(7) What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	FY2001 or earlier

(8) Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this

closes a gap in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: (2500 Char Max)

FEM is a computerized maintenance management system that facilitates planning and documentation of operation and maintenance activities and costs by equipment or industrial system, maintenance histories, equipment condition data, repair frequencies, replacement parts/materials and related operation and maintenance records including labor and overtime utilization. This information allows users to examine cost and condition variances for similar categories of equipment and make management decisions to improve the effectiveness of operation and maintenance strategies, crew utilization, equipment replacement, and funding decisions. FEM also provides corporate level information to identify best performers by cost and labor utilization as well as to share best practices. FEM is used within an asset management strategy to reduce life cycle costs of water resource projects. Its focus is the operation and maintenance portion of the lifecycle as a work management tool, capturing the right data for higher level analysis of work processes, component and equipment failure trends, equipment condition assessment and other reliability and risk assessment processes. . Projected and nominal costs, respectively, are captured in FEM for the work planned and accomplished, for items ranging from materials, parts, components to subsystems utilized in the operation and maintenance of the water resource facilities and congressionally authorized projects that the Corps provides stewardship; allowing analysis of operations and maintenance and provides input to decisions on maintenance vs. replacement of items. FEM is first and foremost an O&M work management system. The primary automated mechanism used to assure accountability of Real Property at the District and facility/Congressionally authorized project level is the USACE Real Estate Management Information System (REMIS). This system is used by headquarters staff in asset management processes. Work management, primarily operation and maintenance (O&M) portion of the life cycle, is managed in FEM due to the extensive detail required in an industrial system approach with subordinate levels of subsystems, components, parts and material and supplies. With proper alignment of REMIS inventory of assets and the routine use of FEM for work management of those assets subordinate subsystems, components, parts, material and supplies, a synergistic arrangement develops.

(9) Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	2006-06-26-04:00
(10) Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	yes

(11) Contact Information of Project Manager?

Name:	
Phone Number:	
E-Mail:	

(12) Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project. (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

yes

(a) Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	no
(b) Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	no
[1] If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	no
[2] If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	no
[3] If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	no

(13) Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives? yes

If "yes," select all that apply:

President's Management Agenda (PMA) Initiatives
Expanded E-Government
Real Property Asset Management

a. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?

FEMS was initially developed by the Department of Defense to meet the needs of maintenance organizations. It is being deployed to meet the needs of the Corps in establishing preventative maintenance programs, and will enable the tracking of life cycle costs and the projection of budgetary information to insure that proper maintenance of projects such as navigation structures, levees and floodwalls, and dams are conducted in a timely manner, thereby

reducing risk and reliability problems.

(14) Does this investment support a program assessed using OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

(a) If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	<input type="text" value="no"/>
(b) If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program ?	Hydropower
(c) If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	Adequate

(15) Is this investment for information technology? (see section [53](#) for definition)

If the answer to Question 15 was "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below.

If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

(16) What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council PM Guidance)?	<input type="text" value="Level 2"/>
(17) What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance):	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for th...
(18) Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's 'high risk' memo)?	<input type="text" value="yes"/>
(19) Is this a financial management system?	<input type="text" value="no"/>
(a) If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	<input type="text" value="no"/>
[1] If "yes," which compliance area:	not a financial management system
[2] If "no," what does it address?	
(b) If "yes," please identify the system name(s)	

and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52:

(20) What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following?
(This should total 100%)

Hardware %:	Software %:	Services %:	Other %:	Total %
5	20	75	0	100

(21) If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

(22) Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name:	
Phone Number:	
Title:	Records Management Program Manager
E-Mail:	

(23) Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? no

Section B: Summary of Funding (All Capital Assets)

(1) Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be **excluded** from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition,"

and “Operation/Maintenance.” The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for “Planning,” “Full Acquisition,” and “Operation/Maintenance.” For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) All amounts represent Budget Authority (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 & Spending Prior to 2006	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008	BY +1 2009	BY+2 2010	BY+3 2011	BY+4 2012 and beyond	Total
Planning	\$18.933	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$18.933
Acquisition	\$2.500	\$2.000	\$1.800						\$6.300
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	\$21.433	\$2.000	\$1.800	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$25.233
Operations & Maintenance	\$5.465	\$2.400	\$2.000						\$9.865
TOTAL	\$26.898	\$4.400	\$3.800	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$35.098
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	\$0.273	\$0.076	\$0.079						\$0.428
Number of FTE represented by cost	1	1	1						3

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

(2) Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

(a) If "yes," How many and in what year?

(3) If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

N/A

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

(1) Complete the table for all contracts and/or task orders in place or planned for this investment:

Contract or Task Order Number: TO1	Type of Contract/TO Used:
Has the Contract Being Awarded: yes	
Contract Actual/Planned Award Date: 10/1/2005	
Contract/TO Start Date: 10/1/2005	Contract/TO End Date: 9/30/2007
Contract/TO Total Value (\$M):	Inter Agency Acquisition: no
Performance Based Contract: no	
Competitively Awarded Contract: yes	
Alternative Financing: NA	EVM Required: no
Security Privacy Clause: yes	
Contracting Officer (CO) Contact Information:	
CO Name:	
CO Contact Information (Phone/Email):	
CO Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A): 3	
If N/A has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N) yes	

(2) If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned Value will be used for the modernization portions of the contract

(3) Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Section 508 Compliance Explanation:

OMBIL Plus contracts for web development require all web products to be fully accessible to individuals with disabilities to meet the requirements of the US Access Board to ensure compliance with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act.

(4) Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

(a) If "yes", what is the date?

(b) If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

[1] If "no," briefly explain why:

N/A

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

Table 1

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/ Baseline (from previous year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2005					

All new IT investments initiated for FY 2005 and beyond must use Table 2 and are required to use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Please use Table 2 and the PRM to identify the performance information pertaining to this major IT investment. Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov.

Table 2

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area IT	Measurement Grouping IT	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2006	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of business lines with P3 for asset management using FEM	17% of business lines have P3 for asset management using FEM	100% improvement	TBD 1Q07
2006	Customer Results	New Customers and Market Pen	% of RBCs with FEM deployed and available to users for full utilization	12.5% of RBCs have FEM deployed and available to users for full utilization	300% improvement	TBD 1Q07
2006	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	% of Regional Business Centers (RBC) with a trained FEM support cell to assist users	50% of Regional Business Centers (RBC) have a trained FEM support	100% improvement	TBD 1Q07

				cell to assist users		
2006	Technology	Availability	% of RBCs with eFEM	0.0 of RBCs have eFEM	2 % improvement	lack of funds prevented improvement
2007	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of business lines with P3 for asset management using FEM	33.3% of business lines have P3 for asset management using FEM	100% improvement	TBD 1Q2009
2008	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of business lines with P3 for asset management using FEM	67% of business lines have P3 for asset management using FEM	50% improvement	TBD 1Q2009
2006	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of Regional Business Centers with FEM being utilized for risk based asset	12.5% of Regional Business Centers have FEM being	300% improvement	TBD 1Q2007
2007	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of Regional Business Centers with FEM being utilized for risk based asset	50% of Regional Business Centers have FEM being utilized for risk based asset management	50% improvement	TBD 1Q2008
2008	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of Regional Business Centers with FEM being utilized for risk based asset	75% of Regional Business Centers have FEM being utilized for risk based asset management	33.3% improvement	TBD 1Q2009
2006	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of RBCs with critical civil infrastructure security systems managed	12.5% of RBCs have	300% improvement	TBD 1Q2007

			in FEM	critical civil infrastructure security systems managed in FEM		
2007	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of RBCs with critical civil infrastructure security systems managed in FEM	50% of RBCs have critical civil infrastructure security systems managed in FEM	50% improvement	TBD 1Q2008
2008	Mission and Business Results	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment	% of RBCs with critical civil infrastructure security systems managed in FEM	75% of RBCs have critical civil infrastructure security systems managed in FEM	33.3% improvement	TBD 1Q2009
2007	Customer Results	New Customers and Market Pen	% of RBCs with FEM deployed and available to users for full utilization	50% of RBCs have FEM deployed and available to users for full utilization	50% improvement	TBD 1Q2008
2008	Customer Results	New Customers and Market Pen	% of RBCs with FEM deployed and available to users for full utilization	75% of RBCs have FEM deployed and available to users for full utilization	33.3% improvement	TBD 1Q2009
2008	Processes and Activities	Management Improvement	% of Regional Business Centers (RBC) with a trained FEM support cell to assist users	50% of Regional Business Centers (RBC) have a trained FEM	100% improvement	TBD 1Q2009

				support cell to assist users		
2007	Processes and Activities	Management Improvement	% of Regional Business Centers (RBC) with a trained FEM support cell to assist users	25% of Regional Business Centers (RBC) have a trained FEM support cell to assist users	100% improvement	TBD 1Q2008

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets Only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

All systems supporting and/or part of this investment should be included in the tables below, inclusive of both agency owned systems and contractor systems. For IT investments under development, security and privacy planning must proceed in parallel with the development of the system/s to ensure IT security and privacy requirements and costs are identified and incorporated into the overall lifecycle of the system/s.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

(1) Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

(a) If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:

(2) Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

(3) Systems in Planning - Security:

Name Of System	Agency Or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Planned or Actual C&A Completion Date
FEMS	Government Only	6/30/2008	6/30/2008

(4) Operational Systems - Security:

Name Of System	Agency Or Contractor Operated system	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact Level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has the C&A been completed using NIST 800-37?	Date C&A Complete	What standards we used for the Security Controls tests?	Date Completed Security Control Testing	Date Contingency Plan Tested
FEMS	Government Only	Low	yes	08/14/05	Other	02/10/06	02/10/06

(5) Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

(a) If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

(6) Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

(a) If "yes," specify the amount, a general description of the weakness, and how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

(7) How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

N/A not a contractor system.

(8) Planning and Operational Systems - Privacy:

(a) Name Of System	(b) Is this a	(c) Is there a PIA that	(d) Is the PIA available to the public?	(e) Is a	(f) Was a new or amended
--------------------	---------------	-------------------------	---	----------	--------------------------

	new system?	covers this system?		System Records Notice (SORN) required for this system?	SORN published in FY06?
FEMS	no	1. Yes.	1. Yes.	no	5. No, because the system is...

(c) Is there a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) that covers this system?

1. Yes.
2. No.
3. No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information.
4. No, because even though it has personal identifying information, the system contains information solely about federal employees and agency contractors.

(d) Is the PIA available to the public?

1. Yes.
2. No, because a PIA is not yet required to be completed at this time.
3. No, because the PIA has not been prepared.

(f) Was a new or amended SORN published in FY2006?

1. Yes, because this is a newly established Privacy Act system of records.
2. Yes, because the existing Privacy Act system of records was substantially revised in FY 06.
3. No, because the existing Privacy Act system of records was not substantially revised in FY 06.
4. No; the system is operational, but the SORN has not yet been published.
5. No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets Only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

(1) Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

(a) If "no," please explain why?

(2) Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.	FEMS - Facilities and Equipment Management System
b. If "no," please explain why?	

(3) Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEASRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA Service Component Reused (b)		Internal External Reuse (c)	Funding Percentage (d)
				Reused Service Component Name	Reused Service Component UPI		
Account Management	Support the supply of goods or services as well as the tracking and analysis of costs for these goods	Customer Re	Sourcing Management	Customer / Account	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	10
Customer Assistance	Supports the solicitation of support from a customer.	Customer Ini	Inventory management	Assistance Request	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	10
Portfolio	Support the administration of a group of investments	Asset / Mater	Property / Asset Management	Portfolio Management	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	15

	held by an organization.						
Information Retrieval	Allow access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders.	Knowledge M	Information Retrieval	Information Retrieval	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	10
Information Mapping	Support the creation and maintenance of relationships between data entities, naming standards and categorization .	Knowledge M	Information Retrieval	Information Mapping	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	10
Standardized / Canned	Support the use of pre-conceived or pre-written reports.	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Standardized / Canned	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	10
Property / Asset Management	Support the identification, planning and allocation of an organization's physical capital and resources.	Asset / Mater	Property / Asset Management	Property / Asset Ma	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	15
Data Integration	Support the organization of data from separate data sources into a single source	Development	Data Integration	Data Integration	202-00-01-02-01-1012-...	No Reuse	15

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as “NEW”. A “NEW” component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	FEA Service Specification (b)
Property / Asset Manager	Service Access and Del	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communication	Maximo
Portfolio Management	Service Access and Del	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Oracle
Portfolio Management	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	Oracle
Information Mapping / Tax	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Maximo
Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Maximo
Data Integration	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	CEEIS Network Infrastructure

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

b. In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

a. If “yes,” please describe.

6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

no

(a) If “yes,” does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?	no
[1] If “yes,” provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).	

PART II: PLANNING, ACQUISITION AND PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Part II should be completed *only* for investments identified as “Planning” or “Full Acquisition,” or “Mixed Life-Cycle” investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments, and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments, to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?
- a. If “yes,” provide the date the analysis was completed?
- b. If “no,” what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
- c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

2. Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:

Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Lifecycle Costs Estimates	Lifecycle Benefits Estimate
		\$0.000	\$0.000

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency’s Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?

b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing risks?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

Costs and Schedules include a 5% contingency factor.

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved

Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones

in the current baseline.

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard – 748?

no

2. Answer the following questions about current cumulative cost and schedule performance. Indicate whether the information provided is contractor-only, or whether it includes both government and contractor costs.

a. What is the Planned Value (PV)? \$2.000

b. What is the Earned Value (EV)? \$2.000

c. What is the actual cost of work performed (AC)? \$2.000

d. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)

Contractor and Government

e. "As of" Date: 9/10/2006

3. What is the calculated Schedule Performance Index ($SPI = EV/PV$)? 1.0

4. What is the schedule variance ($SV = EV - PV$)? \$0

5. What is the calculated Cost Performance Index ($CPI = EV/AC$)? 1.000

6. What is the cost variance ($CV = EV - AC$)? \$1.000

7. Is the CV or SV greater than plus or minus (+ -) 10%? no

a. If "yes," was it the CV, SV, or Both? Both

b. If "yes," explain the variance:

no variance. This only includes the value of the O and M costs through FY06. The modernization begins in FY07

c. If "yes," what corrective actions are being taken?

d. What is the most current "Estimate at Completion"? \$12,000.000

8. Have significant changes been made to the baseline during the past fiscal year?

a. If "yes" when was it approved by OMB?

9. Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., “03/23/2003”/ “04/28/2004”) and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the "Description Milestone" and "Percent Complete" fields are required. Indicate "0" for any milestone no longer active.

Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Schedule Variance (# days)	Current Baseline Cost Variance (\$M)	Actual Percent Complete
	Planned Completion Date	Total Costs (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date Planned	Completion Date Actual	Total Costs (\$M) Planned	Total Costs (\$M) Actual			
Initial Design and Development of Web Application	1/5/07	\$0.500	1/5/07		\$0.500	\$0.000	0	\$0.000	0.0
Beta test of web design at one operating site.	5/1/07	\$1.000					0	\$0.000	0.0
Initial Deployment of Web Application at Regional Business Center	7/1/07	\$1.000					0	\$0.000	0.0
Begin Phase 2		\$1.000					0	\$0.000	0.0

Implementation at Regional business centers	10/1/07								
Continued Phased Implementation at Regional business centers	9/30/09							\$0.000	0.0
FY06 Operations and Maintenance	9/30/06	\$2.000	9/30/06	9/30/06	\$2.000	\$2.000	0	\$0.000	100.0
FY07 Operations and Maintenance	9/30/07	\$2.000	9/30/07		\$2.000	\$0.000	0	\$0.000	0.0
FY08 Operations and Maintenance	9/30/08		9/30/08						0.0
FY09 Operations and Maintenance	9/30/09		9/20/09						0.0

Total Planned Costs: \$4.500
\$2.000

Total Actual Costs: